

# A Unified Approach for Nondifferentiable Functions

AMAR BAOUCHE AND SERGE DUBUC

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Montreal,  
Montreal, Quebec H3C 3J7, Canada*

*Submitted by Philip D. Loewen*

Received June 1, 1992

Let  $\psi(x)$  denote the distance between  $x$  and the nearest integer, and fix  $0 < a < 1$ ,  $ab > 1$ , where  $b$  is not necessarily an integer. Then for any sequence  $\theta_n$  of phases, the function  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n \psi(b^n x + \theta_n)$  has no right (left) derivative at any point  $x$  and  $2 + (\log a / \log b)$  is the box-counting dimension of the graph of  $f$ . The crucial step is to obtain the smallest Lipschitz class to which  $f$  belongs. © 1994 Academic Press, Inc.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1918, Knopp [11] gave an example of a continuous function without a derivative at any point. He defined the function as  $K(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n \psi(b^n x)$ , where  $\psi(x)$  denotes the distance between  $x$  and the nearest integer. The conditions on the parameters  $a$  and  $b$  for the nonexistence of the derivative of this function as given by Knopp are  $0 < a < 1$ ,  $b$  is a positive even integer, and  $ab > 4$ . Prior to Knopp, and even later, several mathematicians studied this functions under the restriction that  $b$  is an integer. For the case  $b = 2$  and  $a = \frac{1}{2}$  see, e.g., Takagi [14], Hildebrand [8], and de Rham [3]; van der Waerden [16] considered the case  $b = 10$  and  $a = \frac{1}{10}$ .

In this work, we study the function  $K(x)$  under the weaker conditions that  $0 < a < 1$  and  $ab > 1$  where  $b$  is not necessarily an integer. Let us define the following two classes of function, the first of which is well known.

- A function  $f$  belongs to the *Lipschitz class of order  $\alpha$*  ( $\text{Lip}(\alpha)$ ), if there exists a constant  $C$  such that  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq C |x - y|^\alpha$  for any  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $|x - y| \leq 1$ .
- A function  $f$  belongs to the class  $\text{Inc}(\alpha)$ , if there is a constant  $c > 0$  such that for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and for any  $h \in (0, 1)$  there is a  $t \in (x, x + h)$  such that  $|f(t) - f(x)| > ch^\alpha$ . (Remark:  $\text{Inc}(\alpha)$  stands for increments of order  $\alpha$ ).

The main result is that  $K$  belongs to each of these two classes with  $\alpha = -\log a / \log b$ . Under the same conditions (i.e.,  $0 < a < 1$ ,  $ab > 1$ ), for

any sequence  $\theta_n$  of phases, the function  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n \psi(b^n x + \theta_n)$  belongs to  $\text{Lip}(\alpha) \cap \text{Inc}(\alpha)$ . As a consequence of this result, together with the fact that the box-counting dimension of the graph of  $f$  is  $2 - \alpha$ , the nondifferentiability of  $f(x)$  is established.

The family of functions  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n \Phi(b^n x + \theta_n)$ , where  $\Phi$  is periodic and  $\theta_n$  is an arbitrary sequence of phases, was introduced by Mauldin and Williams [13]. Further, the Ursell-Besicovitch function also belongs to this family.

## 2. LIPSCHITZ CONDITIONS

In this section, we study the Lipschitz conditions for functions of the form  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n f_n(b^n x)$ , where  $f_n$  is a sequence of functions defined on the real line.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $0 < a < 1$ ,  $b > 1/a$ , and  $\alpha = -\log a / \log b$ . Let us assume that  $f_n$  is a sequence of functions for which*

- *there is a constant  $L$  such that for all  $x, y$ ,  $|f_n(x) - f_n(y)| \leq L|x - y|$ ,*
- *there is a constant  $D$  such that for all  $x, y$ ,  $|f_n(x) - f_n(y)| \leq D$ , then  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n f_n(b^n x)$  belongs to  $\text{Lip}(\alpha)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $m$  be an integer. If  $b^{-m-1} \leq |x - y| \leq b^{-m}$ , then

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq L \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} a^n b^{n-m} + D \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} a^n \leq \left( \frac{L}{ab-1} + \frac{D}{1-a} \right) a^m;$$

since  $b^{-\alpha} = a$ , with the constant  $C = b^2 \{L/(ab-1) + D/(1-a)\}$ , the inequality  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq C|x - y|^{\alpha}$  is fulfilled. ■

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *If  $0 < a < 1$ ,  $ab > 1$ ,  $\alpha = -\log a / \log b$ , and  $\theta_n$  is any sequence of phases, then the function  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n \psi(b^n x + \theta_n)$  belongs to  $\text{Lip}(\alpha)$ .*

## 3. ORDER OF THE INCREMENTS WITH HARMONIC ANALYSIS

This is the central section: we prove that any function  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n \psi(b^n x + \theta_n)$  belongs to  $\text{Inc}(\alpha)$ . The increments  $f(t) - f(x)$  are analyzed through the integrals

$$\frac{1}{Nb^{-m}} \int_x^{x+Nb^{-m}} (f(t) - f(x)) \cos 2\pi(b^m t + \theta_m) dt.$$

In order to study these quantities, a family of elementary integrals is introduced in the following:

$$J_k(x, \theta, N) = \frac{a^k}{Nb^k} \int_x^{x+Nb^k} \psi(t) \cos 2\pi(b^{-k}t + \theta) dt.$$

Five preliminary lemmas are required to carry on the discussion.

LEMMA 3.1.  $|J_k(x, \theta, N)|$  is bounded by  $a^k/2$  if  $k \geq 0$  and by  $(ab)^k/\pi$  if  $k < 0$ .

*Proof.* If  $k \geq 0$ , then  $|J_k(x, \theta, N)| \leq a^k/2$  since  $|\psi(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . If  $k < 0$ , integrating by parts, we obtain

$$J_k(x, \theta, N) = \frac{a^k}{2\pi N} \left\{ [\psi(x + Nb^k) - \psi(x)] \sin 2\pi(b^{-k}x + \theta) - \int_x^{x+Nb^k} \psi'(t) \sin 2\pi(b^{-k}t + \theta) dt \right\}.$$

Since  $|\psi'(x)| \leq 1$ , one has  $|J_k(x, \theta, N)| \leq (ab)^k/\pi$ . ■

LEMMA 3.2. For all  $x, y$ , for any integer  $N$ ,  $|\sum_{n=0}^N \cos(x + ny)| \leq 1/|\sin(y/2)|$ .

*Proof.* If  $w = \sum_{n=0}^N e^{i(x+ny)}$ , then  $w = e^{ix}(1 - e^{i(N+1)y})/(1 - e^{iy})$ . It follows that  $|w| \leq 2/|1 - e^{iy}| = 1/|\sin(y/2)|$ . As  $\sum_{n=0}^N \cos(x + ny)$  is the real part of  $w$ , the required inequality is true. ■

*Remark.* The previous argument is found in the textbook by Valiron [15, p. 13].

LEMMA 3.3. If  $b^{-k}$  is an integer  $m$ , then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} J_k(x, \theta, N) = -\frac{a^k \{1 - (-1)^m\} \cos 2\pi\theta}{2\pi^2 m^2}$$

uniformly in  $x$  and in  $\theta$ . If  $b^{-k}$  is not an integer, then  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} J_k(x, \theta, N) = 0$  uniformly in  $x$  and in  $\theta$ .

*Proof.* If  $b^{-k}$  is an integer  $m$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} J_k(x, \theta, N) &= \frac{ma^k}{N} \int_x^{x+N/m} \Psi(t) \cos 2\pi(mt + \theta) dt \\ \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} J_k(x, \theta, N) &= a^k \int_0^1 \psi(t) \cos 2\pi(mt + \theta) dt \\ &= -\frac{a^k \{1 - (-1)^m\} \cos 2\pi\theta}{2\pi^2 m^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The convergence is uniform in  $x$ . If  $b^{-k}$  is not an integer, then

$$J_k(x, \theta, N) = \frac{a^k}{Nb^k} \int_x^{x+Nb^k} \psi(t) \cos 2\pi(b^{-k}t + \theta) dt$$

$$J_k(x, \theta, N) = \frac{a^k}{Nb^k} \int_x^{x+1} \psi(t) \sum_{L=0}^{L(t)} \cos 2\pi(b^{-k}(t+L) + \theta) dt,$$

where  $L(t)$  is the largest integer less than or equal to  $x - t + Nb^k$ .

From the previous lemma, it follows that

$$|J_k(x, \theta, N)| \leq \frac{a^k}{Nb^k} \int_x^{x+1} \psi(t) / |\sin(\pi b^{-k})| dt \leq \frac{a^k}{2Nb^k |\sin(\pi b^{-k})|}.$$

Therefore  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} J_k(x, \theta, N) = 0$  uniformly in  $x$  and in  $\theta$ . ■

**LEMMA 3.4.** *If  $b$  is a rational number greater than one and if  $b^k$  is an integer, where  $k$  is a positive integer, then  $b$  is an integer.*

*Proof.* Let  $b = p/q$ , where  $p$  and  $q$  are relatively prime. Then  $p^k = b^k q^k$ . Thus any prime factor of  $q$  must also be a prime factor of  $p$ , and this conflicts with the fact that  $p$  and  $q$  are relatively prime. So  $q = 1$  and  $b$  is an integer. ■

**LEMMA 3.5.** *Let  $b$  be a real number greater than one, and let us assume that the set  $S = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : b^k \in \mathbb{N}\} \neq \{0\}$ . Then there is an integer  $r$  such that  $S = \{0, r, 2r, 3r, \dots\}$ .*

*Proof.* We define  $r$  as the least positive integer such that  $b^r$  is an integer. Let us assume that  $S \neq \{0, r, 2r, 3r, \dots\}$ . So there is an integer for which  $n \in S$  and  $n$  is not integral multiple of  $r$ . Hence  $n = rq + h$ , where  $q$  and  $h$  are integers and  $0 < h < r$ . Thus  $b^h = b^n / (b^r)^q$  is rational. So  $(b^h)^r = (b^r)^h$  is an integer. By Lemma 3.4,  $b^h$  is an integer. This is a contradiction. ■

**THEOREM 3.6.** *If  $0 < a < 1$ ,  $ab > 1$ ,  $\alpha = -\log a / \log b$ , and if  $\theta_n$  is any sequence of phases, then the function  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n \psi(b^n x + \theta_n)$  belongs to  $\text{Inc}(\alpha)$ .*

*Proof.* We consider the quantity

$$\frac{1}{Nb^{-m}} \int_x^{x+Nb^{-m}} f(t) \cos 2\pi(b^m t + \theta_m) dt = a^m \sum_{k=-m}^{\infty} J_k(x_k, \theta'_k, N),$$

where  $x_k = b^{m+k}x + \theta_{m+k}$  and  $\theta'_k = \theta_m - b^{-k}\theta_{m+k}$ .

The key to the proof is showing that if  $N$  is sufficiently large, then  $\sum_{k \neq 0} |J_k(x_k, \theta'_k, N)| < |J_0(x, 0, N)|$ .

*First remark.*  $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \forall N \in \mathbb{N}, J_0(x, 0, N) = -1/\pi^2$ .

*Second remark* (Lemma 3.1). It is possible to choose an integer  $M$  such that for any sequence  $x_k, \forall N \in \mathbb{N}, \sum_{|k| > M} |J_k(x_k, \theta'_k, N)| < 0.01$ .

*Third remark.* Case 1. No integral power of  $b$  belongs to  $\mathbb{N}$ .

According to Lemma 3.3, it is possible to choose an integer  $N$  such that for all  $x, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , for any  $k \in [-M, M]$ , one has  $|J_k(x, \theta, N)| < 0.01/M$ .

So for any  $m \geq 0, \sum_{k \neq 0} |J_k(x_k, \theta'_k, N)| < 0.03 < 1/\pi^2 = |J_0(x, 0, N)|$  and

$$\frac{1}{Nb^{-m}} \int_x^{x+Nb^{-m}} f(t) \cos 2\pi(b^m t + \theta_m) dt < -0.05a^m.$$

Case 2. At least one integral power of  $b$  belongs to  $\mathbb{N}$ .

According to Lemma 3.5,  $\{k \in \mathbb{N} : b^k \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{0, r, 2r, 3r, \dots\}$ . It is possible (Lemma 3.3) to choose an integer  $N$  such that for all  $x, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ , for any  $k \in [1, M]$ , one has  $|J_k(x, \theta, N)| < \eta/M$ ,

$$|J_{-k}(x, \theta, N) < \eta/M \quad \text{if } k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{r}$$

and

$$|J_{-k}(x, \theta, N)| < \frac{a^{-k}}{\pi^2 b^{2k}} + \eta/M \quad \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{r}$$

(Lemma 3.3). Since  $ab > 1$ , then

$$\frac{a^{-k}}{\pi^2 b^{2k}} \leq \frac{1}{ab\pi^2 b^k}$$

if  $k$  is positive.

So for any  $m \geq 0$ ,

$$\sum_{k \neq 0} |J_k(x_k, \theta'_k, N)| < \frac{1}{ab\pi^2(b^r - 1)} + 3\eta < \frac{1}{\pi^2}$$

if  $\eta$  is sufficiently small.

In both cases, it is possible to find a positive number  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$\frac{1}{Nb^{-m}} \int_x^{x+Nb^{-m}} f(t) \cos 2\pi(b^m t + \theta_m) dt < -\varepsilon a^m \quad \text{for } m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_x^{x+Nb^{-m}} f(t) \cos 2\pi(b^m t + \theta) dt \\ &= \int_x^{x+Nb^{-m}} [f(t) - f(x)] \cos 2\pi(b^m t + \theta) dt. \end{aligned}$$

From the triangle inequality for integrals, we deduce that there exists at least one  $t$  such that  $x < t < x + Nb^{-m}$  and  $|f(t) - f(x)| > \varepsilon a^m$ .

Given  $h > 0$ , we can find an integer  $m$  such that  $Nb^{-m} < h < bNb^{-m}$ . Using the identity  $1/(b^m)^\alpha = a^m$  and the last inequality, we obtain  $|f(t) - f(x)| > \varepsilon(b^{-m})^\alpha > \varepsilon(h/(bN))^\alpha$ . For  $c = \varepsilon(1/bN)^\alpha$ , the theorem is proved. ■

**COROLLARY 3.7.** *If  $0 < a < 1$ ,  $ab > 1$ , and  $\theta_n$  is any sequence of phases, then the function  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n \psi(b^n x + \theta_n)$  has no right (left) derivative at any point  $x$ .*

Indeed, if  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $f \in \text{Inc}(\alpha)$ , then at every point there is no right derivative. In fact, if  $x$  is a given point on the real axis, there is a sequence  $x_n$  such that  $x_n > x$  and  $|f(x_n) - f(x)| > c|x_n - x|^\alpha$ ; so the differential quotients  $(f(x_n) - f(x))/(x_n - x)$  are not bounded and do not converge. There is no right derivative. There is also no left derivative at any point since  $\psi$  is an even function. ■

#### 4. THE DIMENSION OF THE GRAPH OF NONDIFFERENTIABLE FUNCTIONS

In this section, the box-counting dimension of the previous nondifferentiable functions is computed. We recall the notion of dimension introduced for the first time by Bouligand [2] and studied by Falconer [6]. In order to define the dimension of a compact subset  $E$  of the plane, a family of squares is defined; if  $h$  is a positive number, if  $i$  and  $j$  are two integers,  $Q_{i,j}(h)$  is the square  $[ih, ih+h] \times [jh, jh+h]$ ;  $N_E(h)$  is the cardinality of  $\{(i, j) : Q_{i,j}(h) \cap E \neq \emptyset\}$ ; the *box-counting dimension* is the number  $\dim(E) = \inf\{\alpha : N_E(h) \text{ is } O(h^{-\alpha})\}$ .

**THEOREM 4.1.** *If  $f$  is defined on  $[0, 1]$ , if  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , and if  $f \in \text{Lip}(\alpha) \cap \text{Inc}(\alpha)$ , then the box-counting dimension of the graph of  $f$  is  $2 - \alpha$ .*

This theorem is Corollary 11.2 in Falconer's book [6].

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *If  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ,  $ab > 1$ , and  $\theta_n$  is any sequence of phases, then the box-counting dimension of the graph of the function  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n \psi(b^n x + \theta_n)$  is  $2 + (\log a / \log b)$ .*

*Comments.*

- A slightly different situation has been investigated by B. Dubuc. In [4], he determined the box-counting dimension of the binary random curves defined by  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n \psi(2^n x) \sigma_n(2^n x)$ , where  $\sigma_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} X_{i,n} \delta_i(x)$ , each  $X_{i,n}$  is a binary random variable taking a value in  $\{+1, -1\}$  with equal probability, and  $\delta_i(x) = 1$  for  $x$  in  $[i, i+1]$  and 0 otherwise. This dimension  $\dim(G_f)$  is  $\log(4a)/\log 2$ .

- We mention a theorem due to Kaplan *et al.* [10]. If  $0 < a < 1$ ,  $b > 1/a$ , and  $q: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $C^1$  and almost periodic, then the box-counting dimension of the graph  $G_h$  of the function  $h(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n q(b^n x)$  is  $\dim(G_h) = 2 + (\log a / \log b)$ .

## 5. CONSEQUENCES FOR THE URSELL-BESICOVITCH FUNCTION

In Hobson [9], another nondifferentiable function is described. This function is  $B(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n \phi(b^n x)$ , where  $\phi(x) = x$  for  $x \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ ,  $\phi(x) = 1 - x$  for  $x \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}]$ , and  $\phi(x) = \phi(x+2)$  for all  $x$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . The function  $B(x)$  is called the Ursell-Besicovitch function by Edgar [5].

**THEOREM 5.1.** *If  $0 < a < 1$ ,  $ab > 1$ , then the Ursell-Besicovitch function  $B(x)$  belongs to  $\text{Lip}(\alpha) \cap \text{Inc}(\alpha)$  and has no right (left) derivative at any point  $x$ . The box-counting dimension of the graph of  $B$  is  $2 + (\log a / \log b)$ .*

*Proof.* There is a simple identity:

$$\begin{aligned} B(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2a^n \left\{ \psi \left( 2b^n x + \frac{1}{4} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2a^n \psi \left( 2b^n x + \frac{1}{4} \right) \right\} - \frac{1}{2(1-a)}. \end{aligned}$$

The theorem is a consequence of Theorems 3.6 and 4.1. ■

## 6. CONCLUSION

We add some remarks on the Weierstrass function. Let  $0 < a < 1$ ,  $ab > 1$ , and  $\alpha = -\log a / \log b$ . The Weierstrass function

$$W(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n \cos(\pi b^n x),$$

or, more generally,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n \cos(\pi b^n x - \theta_n),$$

belongs to  $\text{Lip}(\alpha) \cap \text{Inc}(\alpha)$  as was proved by the authors in [1]. According to Section 4, the dimension of the graph of  $W$  is equal to  $2 - \alpha$ . To our knowledge, the first proof of this fact was given by Kaplan *et al.* [10].

The Weierstrass function  $W(x)$ , the Knopp function, and the Ursell-Besicovitch function make use of parameters  $a$  and  $b$ . If  $a > 0$ ,  $ab > 1$ , then each of these functions are nondifferentiable: this is a negative statement. A much more positive statement is proved in this paper. If  $\alpha = -\log a / \log b$ , then  $\text{Lip}(\alpha)$  is the smallest Lipschitz class to which each of these functions belongs.

A situation which was not considered in this paper is the critical case  $ab = 1$ . This situation is much more difficult to study. If  $b > 1$ , what can be said about the increments of  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi(b^n x + \theta_n) / b^n$ ? One of the referees has reported that very strong results have been shown by Kôno [12] in this direction. If  $T(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi(2^n x) / 2^n$  is the Takagi function, then, almost everywhere in  $x$ ,

$$\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{T(x+h) - T(x)}{h \sqrt{\log(1/|h|) \log \log \log(1/|h|)}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\log 2}}$$

and

$$\liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{T(x+h) - T(x)}{h \sqrt{\log(1/|h|) \log \log \log(1/|h|)}} = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{\log 2}}$$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The second author is supported by FCAR (Ministère de l'Éducation du Québec) and by NSERC (Federal Government of Canada).

#### REFERENCES

1. A. BAUCHE AND S. DUBUC, La non-dérivabilité de la fonction de Weierstrass, *Enseign. Math. (2)* **38** (1992), 89–94.
2. G. BOULIGAND, Ensembles impropres et nombre dimensionnel, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **52**, No. 2 (1928), 320–344, 361–376.
3. G. DE RHAM, Sur un exemple de fonction continue sans dérivée, *Enseign. Math. (2)* **3**, (1957), 71–72.
4. B. DUBUC, On Takagi surfaces, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **32** (1989), 377–384.



5. G. A. EDGAR, "Measure, Topology, and Fractal Geometry," Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
6. K. J. FALCONER, "Fractal Geometry—Mathematical Foundations and Applications," Wiley, New York, 1990.
7. G. H. HARDY, Weierstrass's non-differentiable function, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **17** (1916), 301–325.
8. T. H. HILDEBRAND, A simple continuous function with a finite derivative at no point, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **40** (1933), 547–548.
9. E. W. HOBSON, "The Theory of Functions of Real Variable and the Theory of Fourier's Series," Vol. II, Harren, Washington, 1950.
10. L. KAPLAN, J. MALLET-PARET, AND J. A. YORKE, The Lyapunov dimension of a nowhere differentiable attracting torus, *Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems* **4** (1984), 261–281.
11. K. KNOPP, Ein einfaches Verfahren zur Bildung stetiger nirgends differenzierbarer Funktionen, *Math. Z.* **2** (1918), 1–26.
12. N. KÔNO, On generalized Takagi functions, *Acta Math. Hung.* **49** (1987), 315–324.
13. R. D. MAULDIN AND S. C. WILLIAMS, On the Hausdorff dimension of some graphs, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **298** (1986), 793–803.
14. T. TAKAGI, A simple example of the continuous function without derivative, *Proc. Phys. Math. Soc. Japan* **1** (1903), 176–177; "The Collected Papers of Teiji Takagi," pp. 5–6, Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo, 1973.
15. G. VALIRON, "Théorie des fonctions," Masson, Paris, 1966.
16. B. L. VAN DER WAERDEN, Ein einfaches Beispiel einer nichtdifferenzierbaren stetigen Funktion, *Math. Z.* **32** (1930), 474–475.